

Addendum #1

RFP – 230156 - Industrial Land Inventory and Analysis Project

Q1: Would the City consider an extension of this RFP deadline by one or two weeks?

A1: The new RFP deadline is **Monday June 29, 2026, at 4: 00pm PST.**

Interviews Conducted	July 9 th , 2026
Contract Awarded	July 15 th , 2026
Project Start Date	July 16 th , 2026

Q2: Is this RFP being carried elsewhere (such as CanadaBuys or BC Bids).

A2: This RFP is posted to, [City of Quesnel](#) website and [BC Bid](#).

Q3: Section 1 defines “ESA” (Environmental Site Assessment), and Section 5(b) requires the consultant to categorize parcels into Tiers 1, 2, and 3 based on environmental status (e.g., “clean environmental status” or “extensive environmental remediation”). Will the City provide historical ESA reports or independent environmental records for the 204 parcels, or is the consultant expected to determine these tiers using only the provided GIS hazard/floodplain layers and public data?

A3: No, the City will not be providing an Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) or environmental parcels records. The selected consultant will be required to conduct independent investigative research using public databases to determine tiers.

Q4: If no formal ESAs are provided, will the City include a clause in the final contract stating that the consultant’s tier classifications are for high-level economic development planning purposes only and do not constitute certified environmental audits?

A4: Yes.

Q5: Section 5(b) states that the contractor will complete outreach to property owners and that contact information will be supplied “where available”. For the privately owned parcels out of the 204 total, what percentage currently has complete municipal contact data (names, phone numbers, emails) ready to hand over?

A5: The City will provide company information for 90-95% of the parcels.

Q6: What is the City’s baseline expectation for a completed outreach attempt if a private landowner remains unresponsive after multiple contact attempts?

A6: Proponents must detail their approach in their methodology within their proposal.

Q7: 27 of the parcels are owned by CN or are former BCR lands. Will the City facilitate a direct, warm introduction to CN’s real estate department, or is the consultant expected to navigate CN’s public intake channels independently?

A7: The City would like to focus on lands not owned by CN or former BCR lands. This will be discussed in further detail with the successful proponent.

Q8: Section 5(a) asks for a "4-5-digit NAICS code of the current use". For vacant, underutilized, or multi-tenant industrial spaces, will the City accept a primary/dominant use classification, or must every individual business entity on a parcel be itemized?

A8: The review and classification of each parcel should be identified and itemized individually based on its NAICS code. The only exception to this requirement is for vacant parcels.

Q9: Section 7 lists a hard project completion date of January 28, 2027. Is the formal presentation to Council (Section 5(f)) expected to take place *prior* to this January 28 deadline, or will it be scheduled for a regular Council meeting date after the final reports are formally accepted?

A9: The January 28th, 2027, date represents the completion of the consultation work and the delivery of the report to City Council.

Q10: How current is the existing dataset? Are there any gaps or outdated layers we need to be aware of?

A10: Our existing dataset is current as of this year.

Q11: What is the level of detail and completeness of the infrastructure datasets (e.g. degree of asset management, age, capacity, etc.)?

A11: The City of Quesnel completed its infrastructure master plan in 2025.

Q12: What data exists for historical uses on each site? Will the City provide this?

A12: The City will provide parcel information (where applicable). The selected consultant will be required to conduct independent investigative research using public databases.